

UNITED STATES DEN TMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address:

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. 09/056,378 04/07/98 CHENG s 970232CIP/HG **EXAMINER** HM22/0323 FRISHAUF, HOLTZ GOODMAN LANGER & CHICK III,R HENLEY. PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 767 THIRD AVENUE 25TH FLOOR NEW YORK NY 10017 1614 DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

03/23/99

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/056,378

Applicant(s)

Shu Jun Cheng, et al.

Examiner

Ray Henley

Group Art Unit 1614

Responsive to communication(s) filed on	· ·
☐ This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1939	formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 5 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension of the second secon	to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
X Claim(s) 1-22	
Claim(s)	
Claims	
Application Papers	
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	g Review, PTO-948.
The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	
The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
	f the priority documents have been
received.	
	nber) 08/835,920
\square received in this national stage application from the	International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priorit	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Notice of References Cited	
	o(s)2
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	48
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	••
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON T	THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 09/056,378

Art Unit: 1614

CLAIMS 1-22 ARE PRESENTED FOR EXAMINATION

Applicants' Information Disclosure Statement filed April 7, 1998 has been received and entered into the application. As reflected by the attached, completed copy of form PTO-1449, the cited references have been considered.

Double Patenting

Claims 1-22 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-15 of U.S. Patent No. 5,795,911. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the skilled artisan would have expected that the patented method of treating Condyloma acuminata caused by human papilloma virus would include the treatment of the pathophysiological manifestations of the infection, including hyperplasia.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ormum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Application/Control Number: 09/056,378

Art Unit: 1614

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

None of the claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ray Henley whose telephone number is (703) 308-4652.

RAYMOND HENLEY, III PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1600

Henley; rjh

March 11, 1999